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In the excitement over the unfolding of his scientific and tech­
nical powers, modern man has built a system of production that rav­
ishes nature and a type of society that mutilates man. If only there
were more and more wealth, everything else, it is thought, would
fall into place. Money is considered to be all-powerful; if it could not
actually buy non-material values, such as justice, harmony, beauty,
or even health, it could circumvent the need for them or compen­
sate for their loss. The development of production and the acquisi­
tion of wealth have thus become the highest goals of the modern
world in relation to which all other goals, no matter how much lip­
service may still be paid to them, have come to take second place.
The highest goals require no justification; all secondary goals have
finally to justify themselves in terms of the service their attainment
renders to the attainment of the highest.

This is the philosophy of materialism, and it is this philosophy
or metaphysic which is now being challenged by events. There has
never been a time, in any society in any part of the world, without
its sages and teachers to challenge materialism and plead for a dif­
ferent order of priorities. The languages have differed, the symbols
have varied, yet the message has always been the same: “Seek ye first
the kingdom of God, and all these things [the material things which
you also need] shall be added unto you.” They shall be added, we are
told, here on earth where we need them, not simply in an after-life
beyond our imagination. Today, however, this message reaches us
not solely from the sages and saints but from the actual course of
physical events. It speaks to us in the language of terrorism, geno­
cide, breakdown, pollution, exhaustion. We live, it seems, in a
unique period of convergence. It is becoming apparent that there
is not only a promise but also a threat in those astonishing words
about the kingdom of God—the threat that “unless you seek first the kingdom, these other things, which you also need, will cease to be available to you.” As a recent writer put it, without reference to economics and politics but nonetheless with direct reference to the condition of the modern world:

If it can be said that man collectively shrinks back more and more from the Truth, it can also be said that on all sides the Truth is closing in more and more upon man. It might almost be said that, in order to receive a touch of It, which in the past required a lifetime of effort, all that is asked of him now is not to shrink back. And yet how difficult that is!¹

We shrink back from the truth if we believe that the destructive forces of the modern world can be “brought under control” simply by mobilizing more resources—of wealth, education, and research—to fight pollution, to preserve wildlife, to discover new sources of energy, and to arrive at more effective agreements on peaceful coexistence. Needless to say, wealth, education, research, and many other things are needed for any civilization, but what is most needed today is a revision of the ends which these means are meant to serve. And this implies, above all else, the development of a lifestyle which accords to material things their proper, legitimate place, which is secondary and not primary.

The “logic of production” is neither the logic of life nor that of society. It is a small and subservient part of both. The destructive forces unleashed by it cannot be brought under control, unless the “logic of production” itself is brought under control—so that destructive forces cease to be unleashed. It is of little use trying to suppress terrorism if the production of deadly devices continues to be deemed a legitimate employment of man’s creative powers. Nor can the fight against pollution be successful if the patterns of production and consumption continue to be of a scale, a complexity and a degree of violence which, as is becoming more and more apparent, do not fit into the laws of the universe, to which man is just as much subject as the rest of creation. Equally, the chance of mitigating the rate of resource depletion or of bringing harmony into the relationships between those in possession of wealth and

power and those without is non-existent as long as there is no idea anywhere of enough being good and more-than-enough being evil.

It is a hopeful sign that some awareness of these deeper issues is gradually—if exceedingly cautiously—finding expression even in some official and semi-official utterances. A report, written by a committee at the request of the Secretary of State for the Environment, talks about buying time during which technologically developed societies have an opportunity “to revise their values and to change their political objectives.”

2 It is a matter of “moral choices,” says the report; “no amount of calculation can alone provide the answers. . . . The fundamental questioning of conventional values by young people all over the world is a symptom of the widespread unease with which our industrial civilization is increasingly regarded.”

3 Pollution must be brought under control and mankind’s population and consumption of resources must be steered towards a permanent and sustainable equilibrium. “Unless this is done, sooner or later—and some believe that there is little time left—the downfall of civilization will not be a matter of science fiction. It will be the experience of our children and grandchildren.”

But how is it to be done? What are the “moral choices”? Is it just a matter, as the report also suggests, of deciding “how much we are willing to pay for clean surroundings?” Mankind has indeed a certain freedom of choice: it is not bound by trends, by the “logic of production,” or by any other fragmentary logic. But it is bound by truth. Only in the service of truth is perfect freedom, and even those who today ask us “to free our imagination from bondage to the existing system” fail to point the way to the recognition of truth.

It is hardly likely that twentieth-century man is called upon to discover truth that has never been discovered before. In the Christian tradition, as in all genuine traditions of mankind, the truth has been stated in religious terms, a language which has become well-nigh incomprehensible to the majority of modern

3 Ibid.
4 Ibid.
5 Ibid.
men. The language can be revised, and there are contemporary writers who have done so, while leaving the truth inviolate. Out of the whole Christian tradition, there is perhaps no body of teaching which is more relevant and appropriate to the modern predicament than the marvelously subtle and realistic doctrines of the Four Cardinal Virtues *prudentia*, *justitia*, *fortitudo*, and *temperantia*. The meaning of *prudentia*, significantly called the “mother” of all other virtues—*prudentia dicitur genitrix virtutum*—is not conveyed by the word “prudence,” as currently used. It signifies the opposite of a small, mean, calculating attitude to life, which refuses to see and value anything that fails to promise an immediate utilitarian advantage.

The pre-eminence of prudence means that realization of the good presupposes knowledge of reality. He alone can do good who knows what things are like and what their situation is. The pre-eminence of prudence means that so-called “good intentions” and so-called “meaning well” by no means suffice. Realization of the good presupposes that our actions are appropriate to the real situation, that is to the concrete realities which form the “environment” of a concrete human action; and that we therefore take this concrete reality seriously, with clear-eyed objectivity.\

This clear-eyed objectivity, however, cannot be achieved and prudence cannot be perfected except by an attitude of “silent contemplation” of reality, during which the egocentric interests of man are at least temporarily silenced.

Only on the basis of this magnanimous kind of prudence can we achieve justice, fortitude, and *temperantia*, which means knowing when enough is enough. “Prudence implies a transformation of the knowledge of truth into decisions corresponding to reality.” What, therefore, could be of greater importance today than the study and cultivation of prudence, which would almost inevitably lead to a real understanding of the three other cardinal virtues, all of which are indispensable for the survival of civilization?
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Justice relates to truth, fortitude to goodness, and temperantia to beauty; while prudence, in a sense, comprises all three. The type of realism which behaves as if the good, the true, and the beautiful were too vague and subjective to be adopted as the highest aims of social or individual life, or were the automatic spin-off of the successful pursuit of wealth and power, has been aptly called “crackpot realism.” Everywhere people ask: “What can I actually do?” The answer is as simple as it is disconcerting: we can, each of us, work to put our own inner house in order. The guidance we need for this work cannot be found in science or technology, the value of which utterly depends on the ends they serve; but it can still be found in the traditional wisdom of mankind.

Epilogue
by E.F. Schumacher

Features in

Science and the Myth of Progress
© 2003 World Wisdom, Inc.
Edited by Mehrdad M. Zareandi, Foreword by Giovanni Monasta
All Rights Reserved, For Personal Usage Only
www.worldwisdom.com

Cardinal Virtues could be found than Joseph Pieper, of whom it has been rightly said that he knows how to make what he has to say not only intelligible to the general reader but urgently relevant to the reader’s problems and needs.